THE

REHEARSAL

1. Doctor Coward his Blunder about Re-Creating.

2. How the Soul is Hid in God Explain'd.

The Doctor Argues as from Annihilation, fo from Transmigration.

4 He mistakes the Answer to an Objection, for the Argument.

5. Why I go not through all the Texts he Quotes.

6. His Exposition of Eccles. iii. 3.

SATURDAY, May 17. 1707.

(1.) Country-man. VOU told me when we Parted last time, Master, of a fine

Stroke you had for me. Come I'm Ready, let's have it.

Rebearfal. It is in the place we were up-on last of Dr. Coward his Farther Thoughts p. 35. where he says, If God Annihilates your Substantial Spirit, it is still in his Power to Re-Create it, and in his Disposal to Re-Implant it in

the Body or not-

o be .

that Die the Die

the

Re-

Dotter

there eafts, that

Lives es of

p,no. with cou'd

d to

And

ition

15, is

the

the

you fe.

Ś.

e Ci on of By J.

thor,

King-

CO1tano

ntick

r the

drine

fthe urch.

opery.

rning etter

En-

s in

will

Occa-

thing,

itul'd

the

rning

Country-m. Hold, Hold, before you go further, I have a Word to put in here. It a thing be Annihilated, that is, Reduc'd to Nothing, isn't it? And I would Ask, whether ther are several forts of Nothings? Is ther one Bigger, and another Less; one Bet-ter, and another Worse? If so, then Nothings are Somethings. But in our Country Logick, Nothing is Nothing. And when the Being of any thing is taken away, it is no more any thing, nor can it be call'd IT, that is, This or That, for then it wou'd be Something. And if ther were 1000 things Created, yet it Cannot be said, that This or That Nothing was Created. What is the Doctor's meaning then in saying Re-Created? For a thing can be Created but Once. Ther may be a new Creation, but not of the same thing, for when it is once Annibilated, it is Nothing. So that God may Create a new Soul, but that cannot be faid to be any Soul that was Annihilated. For a 1000 Souls may be Annihilated, and which of them all is the New Soul that is Created? Thus if the Souls of all Men are Annihilated at their Death, as the Doctor supposes, and that ther will be a New Creation of Souls at the Resurrection, Which Soul belongs to which Body? Which is my Soul, and which is Yours? For None of these Souls was ever in a Body before. If a thing is to be Re-Produc'd, it must be Rept somewhere and Preserv'd not Annihilated or suffer'd

wholly to Perish.

(2.) Rears. Didn't he tell you, as I Quoted him in my last, that (our Life that is, our Soul) is Hid in God, till the Resur- His disposal.

Country-m. And as I told you last time, he makes the Soul to be Nothing after Death, and fays it wholly Perishes. And how is No-thing Hid in God? It is Easy to Hide Nothing, for it Hides it felf from Every thing.

Rebearf. But you must not Jest with this Expression, for they are the words of the Apostle, Col. iii. 3. For ye are Dead and your Life is bid with Christ in God.

Country-m. This was spoken of our Life and Conversation here in this World, as the words before shew, Set your Affections on things Above, and not on things on the Earth; for ye are Dead, &c. So being Dead to the Carnal Pleasures of the World, our Life and the Pleasures of it are Hid from the World, and are with Christ in God. As the same Apostle says in another place, Our Conversation is in Heaven. I hope you think this a very Different thing from the Interpretation the Dector gives of this Text, to Hide our Souls, after Death, after they are Annihilated, and wholly

(3.) Rebears. That is true indeed, and your sense of this Text is Just. But let me go on. After this Supposal of Annihilation, he Proceeds to that of Transmigration, that is, That the Soul of a Man should be put into a Beaft. What then?

Country-m. Then that Beast wou'd be a Man. For it is not the Shape of the Body makes a Man. But what do's he make all

these Supposes for?

(4.) Rehears. He Supposes too the Soul after Death to Sleep to the Resurrection, or Reside in the Concave of the Moon. the End for which he brings in all this, is, That in all these Cases the Soul is in the Power and Disposal of God. And the Inference he makes from thence is, That you cannot Call this a Returning to God. If you do (Says be) then Certainly even the Beafts of the Field, &c. may be faid to Return to God, (as I have before Quoted him) because they are at

Country-m. The Doctor made an Objection

against this Text Eccles. xii. 7. And says in his Farther Thoughts, p. 24. Pray make Your Text explain how wicked Souls go to God. To which the Answer was (as you have set it down) That tho' they cou'd not be said to Return to His Favour, yet they Return'd to Him to be Jadg'd by Him, and so Return'd

into His Hands or Power.

The Dottor then immediately turns this Answer to him, as if it were an Argument brought to Prove that Souls do Return to God, because they are in His Power; And thence Insers that, by the same Reason, the Souls of Beasts, and every thing Else that is in the Power of God, may be said to Return to Him. Thus wilfully mistaking the Solving an Objection, for the Proof of the Argument. The Proof is in the Text which says, That the Soul returns to God who gave it. And the Doctor has made all this Work to get Rid of it, And in my Opinion, has Consirm'd it the more, by these fort of Objections he has Rais'd against it; the Prodigious Expositions he has given of it; with the Contradictions he has Run into in Defending them.

(5.) Rebears. In like manner he Torments the other Texts he Pretends to Answer. Which if I shou'd Propose to you in the Order as they Ly, I doubt not of your giving as good Answers as to the Former; but I'm asraid the World wou'd grow weary of Us, and Cry out again, That we were Serious too Long together. Besides they may think the Work already sufficiently done. For one Text fully Proving the Point, is as Good as Twenty.

(6.) Country-m. Yet more give more Confirmation. And I wou'd not Tyre our Auditors. But let me know if he says any thing to that other Text in Ecclesiastes, Chap. iii. 21. Who knowest the Spirit of Man that goeth Upward and the Spirit of the Beast that goeth downward to the Earth? Because this is much of the same Strain with that we have already Consider'd.

Rebears. He says, Second Thoughts, p. 276. 277. That this was only a Question, Whither the Spirits of Men and Beasts go when they Die? --- Demonstrating the great Difficulty of Comprehending what becomes of the Breath of Life, that God gave Man, when he Breaths it out and

Dies.

Country-m. Was this Propos'd as so Great a Difficulty by Solomon? The Doctor cou'd have told him Presently! Why, it wholly Perishes, and ther's an End on't! And if it wholly Pe-

rishes, it is no where. What do you ask then Whither it go's?

But the Question here Ask'd seems to me, not to be Whither the Spirit go's? But What it is? Who knoweth the Spirit of Man? Its going Upward is taken for Granted, as a thing then well known, and Adds, to the Difficulty of knowing the Nature of the Spirit, which go's Upward when the Man Dies. As if I should say, who is that Man who Climbs so Steep a Hill? All the Question is about the Man, not at all about the Climbing. Tho' that may be the Occasion of my Question.

But in my Bible instead of that goeth Upward, it is put in the Margin, according to the Hebrew, that is Ascending, and then it is, Who knoweth the Spirit of Man that is Ascending? Which speaks of the Present time. That the Spirit of Man is Ascending and going Upward now in this Eise, while it Remains in the Body. The meaning of which I take to be, in Meditation and Contemplation of Heavenly things: Whereas the Souls of Beasts go Downward, they think of Nothing but what is before them here Below. And the Spirit of Man which is Ascending while in the Body, after Death do's Return to God who Gave it. Thus these two Texts of Solomon Confirm and Explain each other.

3. V 4. 7 5. I

faid t

of th

or har only I have

when

nels 1

but v

to it,

Rei Curio

(2.) it. I

fity. Nay mucl

loofe

The and i

Sumn

full

Unea

call

dy; of t Life

Song mers Reno

The

Hon

less in t

Penathel to a

fect

plo

the

tha

the

nor

ADVERTISEMENTS.

Rome: Or the Lives of the Present Rome: Or the Lives of the Present Pope Clement XI. and of the present College of Cardinals. Written Originally in Italian, by a Gentleman belonging to the Court of Rome, and newly Translated into English from the Italian Manuscript, never as yet made Publick. With a Presace by the Publisher, containing some few Remarks on the Rise and Nature of the College of Cardinals, on the Maxims of their Government, and a short Account of the present Popes Elevation to the Papacy, and of the most remarkable Occurrences in his Pontificate.

SAcrifice the Divine Service, from the Covenant of Grace, to the Confummation of the Mystery of Man's Redemption. By J. Scandret Priest of the Church of England: To which is prefix'd a Letter to the Author, from the Reverend Mr. Charles Lessie; Chancellor of the Cathedral of Conner, in the Kingdom of Ireland.

Piscopal the only Apostolical Ordination: tion: Or the Case of Ordination truly consider'd, in relation to these Questions; viz. 1. What is a Minister of the Gospel?

2. Whence do the Ministers of Christ receive their Power and Authority?

3. What are the Qualifications previously necessary to a Gospel Minister?

4. What is Ordination?

5. Who are the Regular Ordainers?

6. In what Capacity doth a Bishop Ordain?

7. Whether an uninterrupted Succession of regular Ordainers, whoever they be, be necessary to the true Being of the Ministry?

To which is prefixed, a Letter from the Reverend Dr. Hickes.

THE Socinian Controversy fully discuss'd, in Six Dialogues; with an Answer to Mr. Clendon's Book, de Persona, by Mr. Charles Leslie.

Short and easy Method with the Deists and Jews; wherein the certainty of the Christian Religion is demonstrated from Four Rules.